

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	TORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,500	08/29/2001	Thomas John Nelson	P1062P2P1	7274	
25312 7	590 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
WILSONART INTERNATIONAL, INC.			MAI, LANNA		
C/O WELSH &	k FLAXMAN, LLC				
2450 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 112			3637		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Amuliana(a)	
		Application No.	4	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comm	2021	09/941,500		NELSON, THOMAS JOHN	
Office Action Sumn	iary	Examiner		Art Unit	1
The season has been asset		Lanna Mai		3637	IMW
The MAILING DATE of this eriod for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence a	aaress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the no - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a repl naximum statutory period iod for reply will, by statute ee months after the mailin	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (a, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timel n of thirty (30) days v 6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	y filed will be considered time mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus					
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on 28 Ja	anuary 2004.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in c	ondition for allowa	nce except for forma	l matters, pros	ecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the	ne practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-58 and 63-82</u> is	/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 63	•	• •	rom considera	tion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	ed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-58,66-69,74-77</u>	<u>,81 and 82</u> is/are r	ejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are object					
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Ex	aminer.	
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s)					• •
11) The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the att	ached Office A	ction or form P	TO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	one of:				•
1. Certified copies of the					
2. Certified copies of the				-	
3. Copies of the certified				in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the Ir * See the attached detailed Offi		, ,,,			
555 IIIO AILAONOA AOIAIIOA OIII	ioo dolloir for a fist	or the confined copie	s not received.	•	
utachment/e\					
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4\ 🗖 Inte	rview Summary (P	TO-413\	
) \to \text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing}		Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	· ·	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/120/03	O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ce of Informal Pate er:	ent Application (PT	O-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office	tion Summer		D-4 (2	
0- 0-0 (1104. 1-07)	Office A	tion Summary		Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 35

Application/Control Number: 09/941,500

·Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 63-65,70-73 and 78-80 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 13 filed on June 03, 2002. Claims 55-58 were elected which were readable on fig. 18. Claims 55-58 and fig. 18, at the time the election was made, do not include the recess elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 55-58, 66-69, 74-77, 81, 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Swedish publication SE 8202 375-5.

Swedish publication shows in fig. 2 an elongated connector/track having a base (3), projection (5) extending vertically from the base to a first height and consists of identical right and left halves (5, 5). The top portion of each half includes identical extensions wherein the combined width of the extensions is less than the width of the base. The extensions include at least one angled portion (12) obliquely sloped. The projection extends the entire length of the connector as shown in fig. 1. Two protrusions (11) extending vertically from the base to a second height, which is substantially smaller than the first height, and extend the entire length of the connector shown in fig. 1. The

-Art Unit: 3637

protrusions spaced apart from the projection, and being located on either side of the projection beyond the lateral extent of the extensions. The protrusions each includes tapered surfaces (14, 15) extending outwardly from a top portion of the protrusions.

Alternatively, claims 67, 69 and 82 can be rejected as follows:

Claims 67, 69 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swedish publication as applied to claims 66, 68 and 81 above, and further in view of Howorth. The Swedish publication shows the protrusions (11) having at least one tapered surface (15) and the other surface (14) is not clearly shown as being tapered. In case applicant argues that 14 is not a tapered surface, then the Swedish publication does not show the protrusions with tapered surfaces. Howorth shows a connector (36) having protrusions (39, 44) having tapered surfaces (fig. 9) to provide better support and better mating surfaces to the panel (24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the connector of the Swedish publication to include protrusions with tapered surfaces as taught by Howorth to provide better support and better mating surfaces to the panel.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,500

•Art Unit: 3637

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 55-58, 66-69, 74-77, 81, 82 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20, 24, 25, 27, 63-66 of copending Application No. 10/265,900. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are a subcombination of the claims of the copending application 10/265,900.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 55-58, 66-69, 74-77, 81, 82 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 8-11 of U.S. Patent No.6,449,918. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of claims is the same.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 55-58, 66-69, 74-77, 81, 82 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,500

•Art Unit: 3637

Page 5

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lanna Mai at

telephone number 703-308-2486.

Lm

4-16-04

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamoma